

UNITED S. ES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

SC

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 09/139,858 08/25/98 **BRAUN** 044000.0016 **EXAMINER** QM12/1004 H DALE LANGLEY JR WINGOOD, P AKIN GROUP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 816 CONGRESS AVENUE SUITE 1900 3736 DALLAS TX 75202-3789 DATE MAILED: 10/04/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No. Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/139858	858 KRAUN		
omeo Adden Gunnary	Examiner		Group Art Unit	
	11/1/40	00	3136	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet L	eneath the con	respondence ad	dress
Period for Reply	,			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S) F	ROM THE MAIL	ING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted in NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, effective to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. 	ly within the statutory minim	num of thirty (30) da	ys will be considered	d timely.
Status				•
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
☐ This action is FINAL.				·
Since this application is in condition for allowance except to accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	or formal matters, pros C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to th	e merits is close	ed in
Disposition of Claims				
2 Cled m(s) / -22		is/are per	nding in the applic	cation.
Of the above claim(s) is/a			are withdrawn from consideration.	
☐ Claim(s)				
☐ Claim(s)		is/are reje	ected.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are obj	ected to.	
□-Claim(s) /-22		are subje	ct to restriction or	election
Application Papers		requireme	ent.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing I	Review, PTO-948.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is _ approved !	☐ disapproved.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	d to by the Examiner.			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the	priority documents ha	ve been		
□ received.				
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)			•	
received in this national stage application from the Intern				
*Certified copies not received:			·	
ttachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	s) 🗆 In	terview Summar	y, PTO-413	
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	□ No	otice of Informal	Patent Application	n, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	0	ther		
Office A	ction Summary			
Patent and Trademark Office \$26 (Rev. 9-97)				
• • • • •			Part of Paper	No

*U.S. GPO: 1998-454-457/97505

Application/Control Number: 09/139,858

Art Unit: 3301

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I drawn to Fig. 1-3, conventional audiometer, Species II drawn to a multimedia audiometer at Fig. 4.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Art Unit: 3301

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Dale Langley on September 30, 1999 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any question regarding this application can be addressed to Pamela Wingood who can be reached on (703)308-2676.

Pamela Wingood

Patent Examiner

October 1, 1999

CARY O'CONNUR

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 3700